

Student feedback (2016)

Placed before
Teaching, Learning & Assessment Committee
(T-LARC)



Internal Quality Assurance Cell
2016

STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016 & ACTION THEREON

This document contains graphical and tabular summaries of feedback of PG and Mphil students of University of Kerala, held in 2016. The feedback was evaluated by Teaching, Learning and Assessment Review Committee (T-LARC) which is aimed to serve as a central body of the University to review teaching, learning and assessment process. It has mandate to evaluate the student feedback on courses, the academic audit report of Departments, samples of questions papers and allied matters.

Compiled by the IQAC, University of Kerala, 2016

UNIVERSITY OF KERALA ANNUAL STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016


EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Department	2016	2015
Arts and Humanities	7.5	6.9
Science and Technology	7.62	7.3
Social Science	7.17	7.2
University Average	7.43	7.13

The rating of the University teaching by the students has marginally increased by 4.2% in 2016, compared to previous year. The Arts and Humanities departments showed the highest improvement of 8.69%, followed by Science and Technology with an improvement of 4.38%. The rating for Social Science departments went down slightly by 0.42%.

UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

GRAPHIC PROFILE SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016



X-axis: Feedback Questions; Y-Axis: Average Score


Feedback Questions

1. Over all the courses was an excellent one
2. The teacher motivated me
3. The teacher treated all students fairly equal
4. The teacher gave interesting assignment.
- 5 The teacher encouraged students to ask questions.
6. The assignments were valued and returned with helpful comments.
7. In addition to topics in syllabus, general perspective was also given.
8. The teacher used multimedia presentations in some classes.
9. The teacher uses the smart class rooms.
10. The teacher suggested web resources
11. Enough books were available in Dept. Library, related to the course
12. Aims and objectives of the course was explained clearly to students
13. A course bulletin / brochure was issued in the beginner of semester
14. I was fully involved in the course
15. I will recommend this course to junior students

UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

GRAPHIC PROFILE SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016

Comparison of Overall feedback with feedback from S&T, Social Science and Arts & Humanities streams



X-axis: Feedback Questions; Y-Axis: Average Score


Feedback Questions

1. Over all the courses was an excellent one
2. The teacher motivated me
3. The teacher treated all students fairly equal
4. The teacher gave interesting assignment.
- 5 The teacher encouraged students to ask questions.
6. The assignments were valued and returned with helpful comments.
7. In addition to topics in syllabus, general perspective was also given.
8. The teacher used multimedia presentations in some classes.
9. The teacher uses the smart class rooms.
10. The teacher suggested web resources
11. Enough books were available in Dept. Library, related to the course
12. Aims and objectives of the course was explained clearly to students
13. A course bulletin / brochure was issued in the beginner of semester
14. I was fully involved in the course
15. I will recommend this course to junior student

UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

GRAPHIC PROFILE SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016

Comparison of overall feedback with S&T stream feedback



X-axis: Feedback Questions; Y-Axis: Average Score


Feedback Questions

1. Over all the courses was an excellent one
2. The teacher motivated me
3. The teacher treated all students fairly equal
4. The teacher gave interesting assignment.
- 5 The teacher encouraged students to ask questions.
6. The assignments were valued and returned with helpful comments.
7. In addition to topics in syllabus, general perspective was also given.
8. The teacher used multimedia presentations in some classes.
9. The teacher uses the smart class rooms.
10. The teacher suggested web resources
11. Enough books were available in Dept. Library, related to the course
12. Aims and objectives of the course was explained clearly to students
13. A course bulletin / brochure was issued in the beginner of semester
14. I was fully involved in the course
15. I will recommend this course to junior student

UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

GRAPHIC PROFILE SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016

Comparison of overall feedback with Social Science stream feedback



X-axis: Feedback Questions; Y-Axis: Average Score


Feedback Questions

1. Over all the courses was an excellent one
2. The teacher motivated me
3. The teacher treated all students fairly equal
4. The teacher gave interesting assignment.
- 5 The teacher encouraged students to ask questions.
6. The assignments were valued and returned with helpful comments.
7. In addition to topics in syllabus, general perspective was also given.
8. The teacher used multimedia presentations in some classes.
9. The teacher uses the smart class rooms.
10. The teacher suggested web resources
11. Enough books were available in Dept. Library, related to the course
12. Aims and objectives of the course was explained clearly to students
13. A course bulletin / brochure was issued in the beginner of semester
14. I was fully involved in the course
15. I will recommend this course to junior student

UNIVERSITY OF KERALA

GRAPHIC PROFILE SUMMARY OF STUDENT FEEDBACK 2016

Comparison of overall feedback with Arts & Humanities stream feedback



X-axis: Feedback Questions; Y-Axis: Average Score

Feedback Questions

1. Over all the courses was an excellent one
2. The teacher motivated me
3. The teacher treated all students fairly equal
4. The teacher gave interesting assignment.
- 5 The teacher encouraged students to ask questions.
6. The assignments were valued and returned with helpful comments.
7. In addition to topics in syllabus, general perspective was also given.
8. The teacher used multimedia presentations in some classes.
9. The teacher uses the smart class rooms.
10. The teacher suggested web resources
11. Enough books were available in Dept. Library, related to the course
12. Aims and objectives of the course was explained clearly to students
13. A course bulletin / brochure was issued in the beginner of semester
14. I was fully involved in the course
15. I will recommend this course to junior student